

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/002,694	10/31/2001	Richard L. Schertz	10017330-1 4657			
75	03/23/2006	EXAMINER				
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SON, LII	SON, LINH L D		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2135			
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/002,694		SCHERTZ ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Linh LD So	n	2135			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicated reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with apply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ication. tory period will apply and will I, by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  at, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
2a) <u></u> 3) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	)⊠ This action is no r allowance except f	n-final. or formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disnositi	on of Claims	·					
5)	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers  The specification is objected to by the lateral or and the properties of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a subject of the drawing of the pendicant may not request that any objection of the posth or declaration is objected to be the pendicant of the pendicant o	examiner.  and to the drawing(s) be see correction is required.	quirement.  objected to by the Estable held in abeyance. See the difference of the d	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '		
	•	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC eation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) TO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	ite	)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/002,694 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is responding to the Amendment received on 12/27/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 11, 14-16, 18, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Drake et al, US/6347374, hereinafter "Drake".
- 5. As per claims 1, 9, and 16:

Drake teaches "A method of presenting data related to an intrusion event on a computer system, comprising: capturing data related to the intrusion event" in (Col 3 lines 18-25, Col 5 lines 38-45, Col 7 lines 45-53); "decoding the captured data from a predetermined format to a predetermined format (normalized format) decipherable by humans" in (Col 5 line 60 to Col 6 line 67), "the decoded data in turn comprises data summary, and

Application/Control Number: 10/002,694 Page 3

Art Unit: 2135

detailed data; and presenting the decoded data to a user in an organized manner" in (Col 6 line 20 to Col 7 line 10).

## 6. As per claim 2:

Drake teaches "The method, as set forth in claim 1, wherein capturing data comprises capturing network data packets of the intrusion event" in (Col 7 lines 45-53).

# 7. As per claims 3, 11, and 18:

Drake teaches "The method, as set forth in claims 1, 9, and 16, wherein decoding the captured data comprises decoding the captured data from a binary format to a human-readable text format" in (Col 8 lines 1-10, and Col 5 line 60 to Col 6 line 20).

#### 8. As per claims 6 and 21:

Drake teaches "The method, as set forth in claims 1 and 16, wherein presenting the decoded data comprises displaying the decoded data on a computer screen" in (Col 17 lines 1-25).

#### 9. As per claims 7, 14, and 22:

Drake teaches "The method, as set forth in claims 1, 9, and 16, wherein presenting the decoded data comprises graphically displaying the decoded data according to a

Application/Control Number: 10/002,694

Art Unit: 2135

predetermined report organization and format" in (Col 17 lines 50-60).

10. As per claims 8,15, and 23:

Drake teaches "The method, as set forth in claims 1 and 16, wherein presenting the decoded data comprises generating a report having the decoded data" in (Col 17 lines 50-60).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 4-5, 10, 12-13, 17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drake in view of Baker, US/6775657.
- 13. As per claims 4-5, 12-13, and 19-20:

Drake teaches "The method, as set forth in claims 1, 9, and 16. However, Drake is silent on the "decoding the captured data comprises decoding the captured data to decoded data having a data link layer protocol header, a network layer protocol header, a network layer protocol data summary, and packet data in hexadecimal format".

Art Unit: 2135

Nevertheless, Baker discloses the "Multilayered Intrusion Detection System and Method" invention, which includes a method of capture the data packet having a data link layer protocol header, a network layer protocol header, a network layer protocol data summary, and packet data in hexadecimal format in (Col 4 lines 40-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Drake's invention to incorporate Baker's teaching to add more detail information about the network data.

## 14. As per claims 10 and 17:

Drake teaches "The method, as set forth in claims 9 and 16". However, Drake is silent on "capturing data comprises capturing network data packets of the intrusion event in response to detecting the presence of a predetermined signature in the network data packet". Nevertheless, Baker does disclose a method of capturing data and detecting the presence of a predetermined signature in the network data packet (Col 5 line 45 to Col 6 line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Drake's invention to incorporate Baker's teaching to include another method of detecting the network intrusion in real time.

## Response to Arguments

- 15. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 12/27/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-23 under U.S.C. 35 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Drake and Baker.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh LD Son whose telephone number is 571-272-3856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6 (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh LD Son

KIM VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100